1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 10 AT TACOMA 11 ANTOLIN ANDREW MARKS, Case No. C07-5666RJB 12 Plaintiff, 13 ORDER ADOPTING REPORT v. AND RECOMMENDATION 14 COOK SINGH, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge 18 J. Kelley Arnold. Dkt. 18. The Court has considered the Report and Recommendation, objections to the 19 Report and Recommendation, and the record. 20 I. **FACTS** 21 Plaintiff filed this action on October 30, 2007 in Pierce County, Washington Superior Court. Dkt. 22 1. Defendants removed the case to this Court on November 29, 2007. Id. Plaintiff is incarcerated at the 23 Northwest Detention Center ("NDC") and is going through the process of being deported. Id. Plaintiff 24 named employees of GEO, a contractor at the NDC, and federal employees as defendants. Id. The GEO 25 defendants were dismissed by stipulation on January 10, 2008. Dkt. 9. The remaining defendants are 26 federal employees. 27 The Report and Recommendation reviews the facts leading up to this case, and those facts shall not 28 be repeated here. Dkt. 18. The Report and Recommendation recommends that this action be summarily

ORDER Page - 1

dismissed as frivolous and malicious. *Id.* The Report and Recommendation states that Plaintiff's claims in this action are the same claims he has litigated in several other cases. *Id.* The Report and Recommendation leaves open the question of whether Plaintiff should be sanctioned for his Response to the Motion to Dismiss. *Id.*

Plaintiff filed Objections to the Report and Recommendation. Dkt. 19. He repeats the arguments he raised in his Response to the Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 16). *Id.* In both pleadings, Plaintiff argues that the Magistrate Judge is "angry" with him and has given him "a raw deal" in this and the other cases he has before the Court. Dkts. 19 and 16 respectively. Plaintiff alleges that the Magistrate Judge "has tended to favor the nonsense that is put forth by the Defendants." Dkt. 16, at 1. Plaintiff then again raises arguments that he has litigated in the past as stated in the Report and Recommendation. Dkts. 16 and 19.

The Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 18) should be adopted. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(b)(i) the court shall dismiss a case proceeding *in forma pauperis*, not withstanding any filing fee, or any portion thereof, that may have been paid, at any time if the court determines that the action is frivolous or malicious. As stated in the Report and Recommendation, this action is frivolous and malicious, and should be dismissed with prejudice. No further sanction is necessary.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED**:

- The Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 18) is **ADOPTED**;
- This case is **DISMISSED**. No further sanctions are necessary; and
- The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff, all counsel of record, and to the Hon.
 J. Kelley Arnold.

DATED this 4th day of November, 2008.

ROBERT J. BRYAN

United States District Judge